

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| LOCO BRANDS, LLC d/b/a DIRECT | § | |
| TEK, | § | |
| | § | |
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | |
| v. | § | CASE NO. 6:18-CV-69-JDK-KNM |
| | § | |
| BUTLER AMERICA, LLC, and | § | |
| FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS | § | |
| CORPORATION, | § | |
| | § | |
| Defendants. | § | |


This action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Docket No. 2. The Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 231, the “Report”), which contains her findings, conclusions, and recommendations regarding Defendant Frontier Communications Corporation’s (“Frontier”) Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 140) has been presented for consideration. The Report recommends denying Frontier’s motion. Frontier filed written objections. Docket No. 245.

1

independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Having reviewed Frontier's objections de novo, the Court concludes that the objections are without merit and that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Frontier's objections are **OVERRULED** and that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 231) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court. Frontier's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 140) is **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **31st** day of **March, 2020**.



JEREMY D. KERNODLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE